

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/056,091 | SHIMADA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sargon N. Nano | 2157 | |

All Participants:

(1) Sargon N. Nano,

(2) _____.

Status of Application: ____

(3) Thomas E. McKiernan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2006
Time: 2 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:

11 - 15, 18 - 21, 25, 31 - 35

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
To cancel the rejected claims 1- 10, 16, 17, 22 - 24, 26 - 30, 36 - 41
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)